Case 2:11-cr-00312-MMD-PAL Document 59 Filed 04/04/12 Page 2 of 2 Brady or Giglio, nor is such disclosure mandated pursuant to Fed. R. Crim. P. 16, 26.2, or the Jencks 1 2 Act. 3 Mr. Mathur's argument to the contrary, that because the government has already disclosed 4 irrelevant information and thus cannot now withhold other irrelevant information does not persuade 5 this court. The government's disclosure obligations are governed by (1) Brady and Giglio, which 6 require disclosure of exculpatory information, including the government's deals with witnesses; (2) 7 Rule 16 which requires the disclosure of relevant information the government intends to use at trial; 8 (3) Rule 26.2, which requires producing a witness's statement; and (4) the Jencks Act, which 9 requires the production of statements and reports of witnesses. None of these requirements make 10 the documents at issue discoverable. Because these documents are not discoverable, the government 11 has no duty to disclose the documents. 12 Accordingly, 13 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that defendant Anil Mathur's 14 motion for reconsideration of Magistrate Judge Leen's January 19, 2012, order (doc. #34) be, and 15 the same hereby is, DENIED. 16 DATED April 4, 2012. 17 Cellus C. Mahan 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

James C. Mahan U.S. District Judge

26

27

28